

# Research Vidyapith International Multidisciplinary Journal

(International Open Access, Peer-reviewed & Refereed Journal)

(Multidisciplinary, Monthly, Multilanguage)

\* Vol-2\* \*Issue-10\* \*October 2025\*

---

## Agricultural Growth and Economic Development in India

*Dr. Abhay Kumar*

*Assistant Professor, R.B.B.M College Muzaffarpur, Bihar*

---

### Abstract

Agriculture remains a central pillar of India's economic structure, contributing significantly to employment, rural income, food security, and overall national growth. This study examines the economic dimensions of agricultural development in India, focusing on productivity trends, market dynamics, policy interventions, and structural transformation. The analysis highlights how technological adoption, improved irrigation, institutional reforms, and market integration have shaped agricultural performance over time. Despite progress, challenges such as climatic risks, limited access to credit, fragmented landholdings, and supply chain inefficiencies continue to restrict the sector's growth potential. The paper argues that strengthening rural infrastructure, promoting sustainable farming practices, expanding value-chain linkages, and enabling fair market access are essential for enhancing agricultural productivity and ensuring inclusive economic development. The findings underscore that long-term agricultural transformation is critical for India's broader economic progress and poverty reduction.

**Keywords-** Agriculture and Economic Growth, Agricultural Productivity, Rural Development, Market Integration, Agricultural Policy, Sustainable Farming.

### Introduction

Agricultural policies vary widely across countries due to differing endowments, cultures, ideologies, and state capacities. India is no exception, and the country's diverse physical and social landscapes require careful consideration of the agricultural growth-development nexus. Nevertheless, certain broad features of agriculture-growth relationships emerge from an analysis of the theoretical literature. First, agriculture underpins rural and overall development through at least three channels: it offers direct employment and indirect support to diverse and non-farm activities; ensures food security as incomes rise; and shares risks that stabilize consumption and investment. Second, agriculture contributes to the realization of India's vision of economic, social, and spatial inclusiveness (Dr shaukat & Md rehan, 2011). The farming sector remains critical in terms of employment, income, and poverty alleviation, especially for disadvantaged groups; therefore, raising agricultural productivity is of paramount importance for the economy's growth and structural transformation. Sustainability challenges further underscore the need for agricultural investments. Finally, India provides a compelling case for exploring the growth-agricultural performance linkages, as agricultural growth there has exhibited historically significant

fluctuations. Notably, the country experienced a dramatic deceleration in agricultural growth since the mid-1990s, coinciding with periods of high overall economic growth and structural change. Identifying the channels through which agricultural growth promotes macroeconomic development assists in clarifying the policy approaches most likely to enhance growth, welfare, and inclusiveness. Through longitudinal data sets extending back to the 1950s, the research examines both the intricate dynamics of agricultural performance in India and underlying long-run agricultural growth trajectory. In India, agriculture's share of national income, employment, and output is substantially larger than in many other countries at similar levels of overall development. The conceptual and methodological framework encompasses a vast range of data sources and broader definitions to yield context sensitive perspectives.

### **Theoretical Frameworks Linking Agriculture and Development**

Agriculture remains a major sector in India. It contributes to the economy through production of food and other products and is a source of livelihoods for a significant number of people. Several theories have been proposed linking agricultural development to broader economic development. Numerous economic studies highlight the influence of agriculture on overall economic development according to different perspectives.

Neoclassical theory proposes an important link between agricultural growth and economic development essentially. Broader economic growth cannot sustain without a significant contribution from the agricultural sector. If agricultural productivity increases faster than the national average, agriculture will remain the major growth sector and significantly contribute to economic development. A number of historical-macroeconomic studies point out India's major growth periods were accompanied by an increase in agricultural productivity. Overall productivity growth now seems to be influenced more by industry and services than by agriculture. The relationship is more indirect than suggested by earlier historical studies. Structuralism theories present a more complex set of mechanisms than neoclassical theory to explain the linkage between agricultural growth and economic development. Institutional perspective look at agriculture economic development linkages within a developing context, emphasizing how achievement of an economic development goal depends on functioning of state institutions, governance, and provision of infrastructure in an environment of substantial income inequalities. Different agriculture–development theories associate different types of linkages to various growth phases and establish different historical sequences between agricultural and overall economic growth.

### **Agricultural Growth in India: Historical Trajectory**

Agricultural growth in India has proceeded along a distinctive trajectory marked by four distinct phases: stagnation, expansion, deceleration, and, since the mid-2010s, signs of renewed growth (Tripathi & R. Prasad, 2010). These phases can be traced along changes in the long-run average growth rate, the agronomic drivers of growth, and shifts in underlying policy paradigms (Kumar Kakarlapudi, 2010). Early post-independence growth was primarily the result of expanding cultivated area. The introduction of high-yielding varieties in key crops following the Green Revolution shifted the emphasis towards land-saving yield increases that were supported by massive public investments in irrigation and agricultural education. Growth continued to be driven by yield increases during an intervening deceleration period, but the opening up of the economy in the early 1990s curtailed the growth of important subsidies and the area under various essential crops. The initial stage of renewed growth since 2012 has been accompanied by substantial increases in the procurement of various important crops at minimum support prices (MSP) and has been associated

with an upward shift in public expenditure on agriculture. Additional important inflection points in the policy framework influencing agricultural performance include the introduction of high-yielding seeds, price stabilisation measures, and agricultural credit policies.

The average agricultural growth rate for the entire post-independence period (1950–51 to 2008–09) stands at 2.56%, with average growth rates during individual phases of 0.48%, 3.93%, 1.61%, and 3.21%. Agricultural growth during the minimum support price and procurement regime since 2012 is withheld to enrich the periodization of long-run growth, as sufficient data is not yet available to assess whether this growth trend is durable. Major public policies affecting agriculture since independence have included price stabilisation measures, the establishment of co-operatives, agricultural credit policies, the introduction of high-yielding seeds, and a range of measures to support irrigation and agricultural research and education. Productivity growth in agriculture during the expansion phase of the 1960s and 1970s was driven mainly by technology adoption associated with the Green Revolution, while during the subsequent deceleration period growth has continued to depend on productivity improvements in land- or water-scarce situations.

### **Structural Transformation and Sectorial Dynamics**

Indian states exhibit diverse developmental patterns and trajectories, with considerable regional, spatial, and inter-regional variation. The level of economic development across Indian states shows a clear inverse relation with the proportion of workforce in agricultural activities. However, this is not a state-specific phenomenon; rather, regional and inter-district-level analysis reveals a related and considerable pattern in the structural dynamics of the Indian economy in its long run of growth since independence.

Investments in rural infrastructure, promotion of rural and agro-based industries, and technological advances in agriculture and allied activities, especially the adoption of high-yield variety rice, fertilisers, ground water irrigation, and modern agro farm mechanisation systems, together with the emergence of National Agricultural Policy and programmes like the Mahatma Gandhi National Rural Employment Guarantee Scheme, have significantly contributed to the declining share of agriculture in the Indian economy and opening up alternate employment avenues outside of agricultural activities. Agricultural inputs play a pivotal role in determining the quantity and productivity of agricultural production through their complementarity with natural endowments. Resources flow from agricultural-based activities aided by either rural or urban capital formation can trigger relative expansion of non-agricultural-based sectors and activities providing better returns. Rapid progress in rural infrastructure, better road, drinking water facilities, rural electrification, improved communication, rise in the average size of operational holding, and development of financial markets have stimulated growth of & investment in both agricultural- and non-agricultural-based activities, thereby influencing the agriculture-non-agriculture interplay. Skilled development and vocational training, access to efficient e-governance services, improved tele- and e-communication, and national information technology policy geared towards rural saturation may enhance access to job information and entrepreneurship within and outside agriculture thereby accelerating productivity gain and alignment of planned and actual investment across the entire economy.

### **Agricultural Policy and Institutional Context**

Analysis of agricultural sector performance in India mandates scrutiny of both the relevant policy framework and the institutions shaping implementation and

governance. The architecture that nurtures Indian agriculture dates to the colonial era, focussing on innovations to boost productivity through advisory input rather than infrastructure investments. Although seeds, fertilizers, irrigation, and pest control have never been properly addressed, land tenure reforms have since favoured more intensive cultivation. By end-2000, 43% of cultivated area was under irrigation, agricultural credit flow reached 400 billion, fertilizer application had risen to 116 kg per hectare, and rural extension services were provided by 740,000 personnel (Kumar Kakarlapudi, 2010). The gradual relaxation of controls on prices, distribution, and trade combined with the provision of a minimum support price (MSP) for paddy and wheat emerged as the most effective measures for stimulating output.

### **Productivity, Technology, and Innovation in Indian Agriculture**

Productivity growth has been sluggish in Indian agriculture relative to other emerging economies, raising concerns over the sector's role in future growth. The growth rate of value added per agricultural worker increased only slightly from 1994–95 to 2019–20, compared with double-digit growth in manufacturing and services. The slowdown coincided with declining rates of overall total factor productivity growth and the decline of long-term agricultural price growth after the early 1990s. Productivity growth also varies significantly across states (Tripathi & R. Prasad, 2010). The transmission channels linking agricultural growth to overall economic development can be classified as consumption, production, factor market, and risk-sharing mechanisms. Empirical evidence cross-country, regional, and time-series shows that growth-enhancing shifts in agricultural supply and a gradual acceleration of rural non-farm employment have been key features of the Indian growth process. McKinsey estimates three million new non-farm jobs per year between 2000 and 2030 and growth-enhancing shifts in agricultural supply remain essential in several states. These findings suggest higher productivity growth in the agriculture sector, especially in cropping activities, along with corresponding rural non-farm investments.

### **Financing, Credit, and Risk Management in Agriculture**

Access to credit is critical for agricultural growth in India. Agricultural credit, however, is significantly influenced by the credit structure, type of credit being availed of, and the extent of formal lending. The formal rural credit system in India consists of two major constituents—institutions of rural credit and non-institutional sources. The major institutional sectors of rural credit in India are commercial banks, cooperative banks, regional rural banks and the Self Help Groups. The importance of rural credit for the agricultural sector further stems from its heavy reliance on traditional substances and uncertainty combined with risk vulnerability associated with agriculture's dependence on nature. Historical evaluation of rural credit in India highlights that access to formal institutional credit improves credit management, commercial investment and technology adoption.

### **Market Access, Trade, and Value Chains**

Market access, trade, and value chain development are important channels through which agricultural growth contributes to overall economic and welfare gains. Market infrastructure and price incentives shape supply chains and affect sector performance, while trade policy and international commitments influence access to markets, trade flows, and quality standards (Bandhu, 2009). India's agricultural production mainly relies on domestic markets. Value chains for key food commodities, including cereals, pulses, oilseeds, fruits, vegetables, and livestock, have relatively low links to international markets and remain underdeveloped. During the past two decades, there has been little improvement in the degree of vertical integration, horizontal diversification of products, or segment-level modernization.



## **Climate Change, Sustainability, and Resource Management**

Global climate change has altered the intensity and distribution of rainfall, marking an unprecedented disruption in the Indian climate from a historical perspective. The Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change reports that rising global temperatures have increased heat wave frequency over the Indian subcontinent, while arid regions in northwest India are experiencing drier conditions (K Garg et al., 2016). Hussain and Hossain report that crop yields are likely to decline as temperature increases. Since agriculture depends critically on climatic variables, the direct impact of climate change on this sector is substantial. The Indian Ministry of Agriculture and Farmers' Welfare predicts a 4.5% reduction in potential rice yield and 13.4% reduction in potential wheat yield by 2080–2100 due to climate change. Climate change forecasts indicate that share of agricultural sectors will be higher in developing nations than in developed one (Singh, 2013). The coastal states are more prone to floods than their counterparts and climate change is expected to intensify the damage. Hence, climate risk management interventions are necessary to establish resilience to climate change or climate-related hazards

Prudent water management, soil health management, and nutrient management along with improved weather forecasting and dissemination systems are considered to be the most promising options for climate change adaptation strategy in Indian Agriculture. Conservation Agriculture (CA) further enhances the opportunity for climate change adaptation and mitigation. Water and soil resource conservation technologies aid the adoption of conservation agriculture in light of the growing threat of climate change. Asphyxiation due to waterlogging is no longer a common issue in rice-growing areas with the help of precipitations capturing mechanism and moisture recycling.

### **Regional Disparities and Inclusive Growth**

Regional disparities in agriculture and allied sectors have persisted in India since independence. High population density, coupled with historical exploitation of scheduled castes and tribes, has intensified regional inequalities (A Reddy, 2012). This necessitates targeted policies in agriculture, such as those aimed at irrigation, farm mechanisation, improved seed varieties, and crop diversification. Improved indicators for crop production per net sown area, irrigation, and farm power exhibit a chain of positive feedback. States with relatively higher starting points for these parameters enjoy higher average growth in yields and net sown areas. Study variables were found to be subject to farm-level changes and address the key concerns of the agricultural sector.

### **Policy Reforms and Pathways for Accelerated Development**

Agricultural growth and economic development in India have long been closely related, with performance in one sector influencing the other. Building on the extensive literature regarding these linkages, this study seeks to clarify their nature in the Indian context and identify policies capable of accelerating growth in both sectors simultaneously. India is distinguished by relatively low agricultural growth, overlapping policies with extensive effects outside agriculture, a highly fragmented institutional framework, and growing inter-dependencies between agriculture and spatially diversified non-agricultural activities. Increased attention to these interactions is deemed necessary and timely, as Indian agriculture has entered what appears to be a new growth phase, with non-agricultural sectors still constrained by widely observed structural, institutional, and policy deficits. Careful delineation of agricultural–non-agricultural linkages highlights the relative importance of farm

productivity, risk-sharing, rural labor dynamics, rural-urban price linkages, irrigation development, and rural finance in shaping the overall outcome. A major underlying cause of the current slowdown in agricultural growth is thought to be the limited expansion of irrigation. Several policy and institutional reforms, together with strategic public investments that respect these interdependencies, are therefore proposed to accelerate both agricultural and economic development more generally.

### **Measurement, Evaluation, and Methodological Considerations**

Several issues arise in measuring the links between agricultural growth and macroeconomic outcomes in India. First, determining the signs and magnitudes of these linkages poses challenges to both data and methodology. In particular, data on formal and informal sector activities, investment climate indicators, and agricultural services are either scarce or unreliable (Tripathi & R. Prasad, 2010). Second, transactions in agricultural output, inputs, and fixed capital often take place under informal and non-arms-length conditions, complicating the estimation of agricultural output and productivity in rural economies (Kumar Kakarlapudi, 2007). Third, informal employment in agriculture and related activities, such as livestock, fishing, aquaculture, and horticulture, is difficult to measure accurately. While the concept of rural employment encompasses a wide array of sectors, much of the activity is concentrated on-farm and remains unrecorded. It is essential, therefore, to establish a clear methodology for collecting data on productivity, growth, and linkages that can incorporate such behaviours and provide a broad understanding of rural growth and its effects.

### **Conclusions**

Agricultural growth has played a crucial role in the economic development of India. Based on the historical analysis of the Indian economy, several important conclusions can be drawn. First, the overall growth of the agricultural sector has positively influenced the growth of the non-agricultural economy and the rural economy through price and income effects. Secondly, agricultural growth has led to an increase in employment, income, and investment opportunities in the rural economy, which has a large impact on rural poverty reduction. Thirdly, non-agricultural growth has been found to make a contribution to agricultural growth through various linkages. Thus, the growth of both the agricultural and non-agricultural economies has been mutually reinforced.

The detailed and extensive analysis of various pathways of agricultural growth and development priorities indicates the need for the following priority reforms for accelerating productivity and growth in the agricultural sector in India. Policy reforms, institutional reforms, and reforms of public investment are necessary for accelerating agricultural development in the country. Measures for proper sequencing of reforms should be adopted, and the political economy of state-level development should be taken into account for implementing them. The methodology used in this study is econometric analysis of various forms of growth-linkage equations between the agricultural and non-agricultural sectors at the macro level. This study focuses on the agricultural sector, and partial or complete indicators of agricultural development have been identified on the basis of the experience of Indian states that were taken up in the analysis. Further research remains to be done on refining the methodology, and measuring and monitoring the impact of agricultural development.

### **Author's Declaration:**

I/We, the author(s)/co-author(s), declare that the entire content, views, analysis, and conclusions of this article are solely my/our own. I/We take full responsibility, individually and collectively, for any errors, omissions, ethical misconduct, copyright violations, plagiarism,

defamation, misrepresentation, or any legal consequences arising now or in the future. The publisher, editors, and reviewers shall not be held responsible or liable in any way for any legal, ethical, financial, or reputational claims related to this article. All responsibility rests solely with the author(s)/co-author(s), jointly and severally. I/We further affirm that there is no conflict of interest financial, personal, academic, or professional regarding the subject, findings, or publication of this article.

### References:

1. Kumar Kakarlapudi, K. (2010). Agricultural Growth Deceleration in India: A Review of Explanations.
2. Dr shaukat, haseen & Md rehan, khan (2011). Indian agriculture and food security: problem and prospects.
3. Kannan, E. & Pohit, S. (2021). Agricultural Growth Diagnostics: Identifying the Binding Constraints and Policy Remedies for Bihar, India.
4. Saikia, D. (2009). Agriculture-Industry Interlinkages: Some Theoretical and Methodological Issues in the Indian Context.
5. Tripathi, A. & R. Prasad, A. (2010). Agricultural Development in India since Independence: A Study on Progress, Performance, and Determinants.
6. A Reddy, A. (2012). Structure of Employment in Rural India.
7. saravanan, saravanan (2016). An Analysis of Institutional Credit, Agricultural Policy and Investment to Agriculture in India.
8. Singh, L. (2008). Rural Finance and Farmers' Indebtedness: A Study of Two Punjab.
9. Bandhu, Y. (2009). Trade Competitiveness, Subsidies and Barriers to Trade: Implication for Indian Agriculture.
10. K Garg, K., P Wani, S., Chander, G., H Anantha, K., & Pardhasaradhi, G. (2016). Water Productivity and Income.
11. Singh, K. M. (2013). Sustainable Agriculture: Potential and Strategies for Development.
12. A Reddy, A. (2012). Sources of agricultural productivity growth in Orissa: A district level analysis.
13. P Ganesasubramanian. R, M. (2016). Trends in Regional Disparity of Southern States in India During the Post-Reform Period.
14. SIDDIQUI, K. (2015). Agrarian Crisis and Transformation in India.
15. Kumar Kakarlapudi, K. (2007). Decomposition Analysis of Agricultural Growth: A Review of Measurement Issues.
16. Ingram Christman, W. (1971). Agricultural Policy in India as Related to Economics of an Emerging Underdeveloped Nation.

### Cite this Article

***'Dr. Abhay Kumar, "Agricultural Growth and Economic Development in India", Research Vidyapith International Multidisciplinary Journal (RVIMJ), ISSN: 3048-7331 (Online), Volume:2, Issue:10, October 2025.***

**Journal URL-** <https://www.researchvidyapith.com/>

**DOI-** 10.70650/rvimj.2025v2i1000010

**Published Date-** 04 October 2025